

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/735,070	12/12/2003	Daniel Yap	B-4586NP 621546-7	1271	
7590 03/24/2006			EXAMINER		
Ross A. Schmitt, Esq.			ROJAS, OMAR R		
c/o LADAS & I Suite 2100	PARRY	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
5670 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90036-5679			2874		
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					<u>-</u>
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/735,0	70	YAP ET AL.	
		Examine	r	Art Unit	
		Omar Roj	as	2874	
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on the	e cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress
Period for	• •	AD DEDLY 10 CET T	O EVDIDE (MONTI	1/0\ OD TUUDT\/ /0/	0) DAYC
WHICH - Extensi after SI - If NO p - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F- IEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M ons of time may be available under the provisions X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commeriod for reply is specified above, the maximum state reply within the set or extended period for reply by received by the Office later than three months a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE OF TH of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even nunication. atutory period will apply and w will, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATIC ent, however, may a reply be t ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro dication to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed m the mailing date of this co IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
1)⊠ F	esponsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>07 March 2005</i> .			
	•	 2b)⊠ This action is n			
3)□ S	ince this application is in condition	for allowance except	for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the	merits is
С	losed in accordance with the practi	ce under <i>Ex part</i> e Qu	<i>ayl</i> e, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.	
Dispositio	n of Claims				
4)× C	claim(s) <u>1-58</u> is/are pending in the a	application.			
4:	a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	re withdrawn from co	nsideration.		
5) 🗌 C	laim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)□ C	laim(s) is/are rejected.				,
•	laim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)⊠ C	laim(s) <u>1-58</u> are subject to restriction	on and/or election rec	μuirement.		
Applicatio	n Papers				
9)∐ TI	ne specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.			
10) <u></u> ⊤I	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a)□ accepted or b)	objected to by the	Examiner.	
Α	pplicant may not request that any objec	ction to the drawing(s) b	oe held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including	•		- -	• •
11)∐ TI	ne oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. No	ote the attached Offic	e Action or form PT	O-152.
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
•	All b) Some * c) None of:				
	Certified copies of the priority				
	. Certified copies of the priority		• •		O 4
3	Copies of the certified copies	•		/ed in this National (Stage
* Se	application from the Internatio e the attached detailed Office actio	•		hay	
00		The dist of the cert	ned dopies not reserv		
Attachment(s)				
_ `	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar		
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P		Paper No(s)/Mail [5] Notice of Informal		⊩152\
	tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or lo(s)/Mail Date	F10/38/00)	6) Other: <u>Detailed Ac</u>		102)

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Claims 1, 30, and 55 are generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species:
 - a. Specie of Figure 1;
 - b. Specie of Figure 3;
 - c. Specie of Figure 4;
 - d. Specie of Figure 5;
 - e. Specie of Figure 6; and
 - f. Specie of Figure 7.

The species are independent or distinct because: Inventions (a)-(f) are directed to related products. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the related inventions have materially different designs, modes of operation, and/or functions.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

2. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Rojas whose telephone number is (571) 272-2357. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (11:00AM-7:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick can be reached on (571) 272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Omar Rojas

Patent Examiner

or

March 10, 2006